arXiv:1608.04137v1 [math.OC] 14 Aug 2016

A second order dynamical system with Hessian-driven damping and penalty term associated to variational inequalities

Radu Ioan Boţ * Ernö Robert Csetnek †

August 16, 2016

Abstract. We consider the minimization of a convex objective function subject to the set of minima of another convex function, under the assumption that both functions are twice continuously differentiable. We approach this optimization problem from a continuous perspective by means of a second order dynamical system with Hessian-driven damping and a penalty term corresponding to the constrained function. By constructing appropriate energy functionals, we prove weak convergence of the trajectories generated by this differential equation to a minimizer of the optimization problem as well as convergence for the objective function values along the trajectories. The performed investigations rely on Lyapunov analysis in combination with the continuous version of the Opial Lemma. In case the objective function is strongly convex, we can even show strong convergence of the trajectories.

Key Words. dynamical systems, Lyapunov analysis, convex optimization, nonautonomous systems, Newton dynamics

AMS subject classification. 34G25, 47J25, 47H05, 90C25

1 Introduction

The Newton-like dynamical system

$$\begin{cases} \ddot{x}(t) + \gamma\dot{x}(t) + \lambda\nabla^2\Phi(x(t))(\dot{x}(t)) + \nabla\Phi(x(t)) = 0 \\ x(0) = u_0, \dot{x}(0) = v_0, \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

has been investigated by Alvarez, Attouch, Bolte and Redont in [5] in connection with the optimization problem

$$\inf_{x\in\mathcal{H}} \Phi(x). \tag{2}$$

Here, $\mathcal{H}$ is a real Hilbert space endowed with inner product $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ and associated norm $\|\cdot\| = \sqrt{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle}$, $u_0, v_0 \in \mathcal{H}$ are the initial data, $\lambda, \gamma > 0$, while $\nabla\Phi$ and $\nabla^2\Phi$ denote the gradient and Hessian of the function $\Phi : \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{R}$, respectively. We speak here about a second order system in time (through the presence of the acceleration term $\ddot{x}(t)$, which is associated to inertial effects) and in space (through $\nabla^2\Phi(x(t))$). One can also notice the presence of the geometric damping that acts on the velocity through the Hessian of the function Φ.

As underlined in [5], the dynamical system (1) can be seen as a mixture of the continuous Newton method

$$\nabla^2\Phi(x(t))(\dot{x}(t)) + \nabla\Phi(x(t)) = 0, \tag{3}$$

*University of Vienna, Faculty of Mathematics, Oskar-Morgenstern-Platz 1, A-1090 Vienna, Austria, email: radu.bot@univie.ac.at.

†University of Vienna, Faculty of Mathematics, Oskar-Morgenstern-Platz 1, A-1090 Vienna, Austria, email: ernoe.robert.csetnek@univie.ac.at. Research supported by FWF (Austrian Science Fund), Lise Meitner Programme, project M 1682-N25.

investigated by Alvarez and Pérez in [6], with the heavy ball with friction system

$$\ddot{x}(t) + \gamma\dot{x}(t) + \nabla\Phi(x(t)) = 0, \tag{4}$$

studied for the first time in Polyak [35] and Antipin [7]. Due to this remarkable fact, the dynamical system (1) possesses most of the advantages of the systems (3) and (4). We refer the reader to [5,6,9,18–20] for more insights on Newton-type dynamics and their motivations coming from mechanics and control theory.

The aim of this paper is to associate a second order Newton-type dynamical system to the optimization problem

$$\inf_{x\in\operatorname{argmin}\Psi} \Phi(x), \tag{5}$$

where $\Phi, \Psi : \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{R}$ are convex and twice differentiable functions, and to investigate its asymptotic properties.

Let us notice that, due to the first order optimality conditions, solving (5) can be formulated as a variational inequality of the form

$$\text{find } x \in \operatorname{argmin}\Psi \text{ such that } \langle\nabla\Phi(x), y - x\rangle \geq 0 \ \forall y \in \operatorname{argmin}\Psi, \tag{6}$$

where $\operatorname{argmin}\Psi$ denotes the set of minimizers of Ψ over $\mathcal{H}$.

Attouch and Czarnecki have assigned in [12] to (5) the nonautonomous first order dynamical system

$$\dot{x}(t) + \nabla\Phi(x(t)) + \beta(t)\nabla\Psi(x(t)) = 0, \tag{7}$$

where $\beta : [0, +\infty) \to (0, +\infty)$ is a function of time assumed to tend to $+\infty$ as $t \to +\infty$, which penalizes the constrained function. Several convergence results of the trajectories generated by (7) to the solution set of (5) have been reported in [12] under the key assumption

$$\forall p \in \operatorname{ran} N_{\operatorname{argmin}\Psi} \ \int_0^{+\infty} \beta(t)\left[\Psi^*\left(\frac{p}{\beta(t)}\right) - \sigma_{\operatorname{argmin}\Psi}\left(\frac{p}{\beta(t)}\right)\right] dt < +\infty, \tag{8}$$

where $\Psi^* : \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is the Fenchel-Legendre transformation of Ψ:

$$\Psi^*(p) = \sup_{x\in\mathcal{H}}\{\langle p, x\rangle - \Psi(x)\} \ \forall p \in \mathcal{H};$$

$\sigma_{\operatorname{argmin}\Psi} : \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is the support function of the set $\operatorname{argmin}\Psi$:

$$\sigma_{\operatorname{argmin}\Psi}(p) = \sup_{x\in\operatorname{argmin}\Psi} \langle p, x\rangle \ \forall p \in \mathcal{H};$$

and $N_{\operatorname{argmin}\Psi}$ is the normal cone to the set $\operatorname{argmin}\Psi$, defined by

$$N_{\operatorname{argmin}\Psi}(x) = \{p \in \mathcal{H} : \langle p, y - x\rangle \leq 0 \ \forall y \in \operatorname{argmin}\Psi\}$$

for $x \in \operatorname{argmin}\Psi$ and $N_{\operatorname{argmin}\Psi}(x) = \emptyset$ for $x \notin \operatorname{argmin}\Psi$. Finally, $\operatorname{ran} N_{\operatorname{argmin}\Psi}$ denotes the range of the normal cone $N_{\operatorname{argmin}\Psi}$, that is, $p \in \operatorname{ran} N_{\operatorname{argmin}\Psi}$ if and only if there exists $x \in \operatorname{argmin}\Psi$ such that $p \in N_{\operatorname{argmin}\Psi}(x)$. Let us notice that for $x \in \operatorname{argmin}\Psi$ one has $p \in N_{\operatorname{argmin}\Psi}(x)$ if and only if $\sigma_{\operatorname{argmin}\Psi}(p) = \langle p, x\rangle$.

We present a situation where the above condition (8) is fulfilled. According to [12], if we take

$$\Psi(x) = \frac{1}{2}\inf_{y\in C}\|x - y\|^2,$$

for a nonempty, convex and closed set $C \subseteq \mathcal{H}$, then the condition (8) is fulfilled if and only if

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\beta(t)} dt < +\infty,$$

which is trivially satisfied for $\beta(t) = (1+t)^\alpha$ with $\alpha > 1$.

The paper of Attouch and Czarnecki [12] was the starting point of a considerable number of research articles devoted to this subject, including those addressing generalizations to variational inequalities formulated with maximal monotone operators (see [10, 12, 14, 15, 17, 22–24, 27–29, 33, 34]). We refer also to the above-listed references for more general formulations of the key assumption (8) and for further examples for which these conditions are satisfied.

In [24] we approached the optimization problem (5) through the second order nonautonomous dynamical system

$$\ddot{x}(t) + \gamma\dot{x}(t) + \nabla\Phi(x(t)) + \beta(t)\nabla\Psi(x(t)) = 0, \tag{9}$$

where $\gamma > 0$ and $\beta : [0, +\infty) \to (0, +\infty)$ is a function of time. Under the assumption that β tends to $+\infty$ as $t \to +\infty$, we proved weak convergence of the generated trajectories to a minimizer of (5) as well as convergence for the objective function values along the trajectories. We refer to [13] for another variant of this system, where the objective function is penalized instead of the constraint function.

The aim of this paper is to combine Newton-like dynamics with systems of the form (9) in order to approach from a continuous perspective the solving of the optimization problem (5). To this end, we propose ourselves to investigate in this paper the asymptotic behavior of the dynamical system

$$\ddot{x}(t)+\gamma\dot{x}(t)+\lambda\nabla^2\Phi(x(t))(\dot{x}(t))+\lambda\beta(t)\nabla^2\Psi(x(t))(\dot{x}(t))+\nabla\Phi(x(t))+(\beta(t)+\lambda\dot{\beta}(t))\nabla\Psi(x(t)) = 0. \tag{10}$$

Condition (8) will be again crucial in the analysis performed. By using Lyapunov analysis in combination with the continuous version of the Opial Lemma, we prove weak convergence of the trajectories to a minimizer of the optimization problem (5) as well as convergence for the objective function values along the trajectories. In case the objective function is strongly convex, we can even show strong convergence of the trajectories.

2 Preliminaries

In this section we will introduce preliminary notions and results that will be useful throughout the paper.

The following statement can be interpreted as the continuous counterpart of the convergence result of quasi-Fejér monotone sequences. For its proofs we refer the reader to [1, Lemma 5.1].

Lemma 1 *Suppose that $F : [0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ is locally absolutely continuous and bounded from below and that there exists $G \in L^1([0, +\infty))$ such that for almost every $t \in [0, +\infty)$*

$$\dot{F}(t) \leq G(t).$$

Then there exists $\lim_{t\to+\infty} F(t) \in \mathbb{R}$.

We will focus our investigations on the following second order dynamical system

$$\begin{cases} \ddot{x}(t) + \gamma\dot{x}(t) + \lambda\nabla^2\Phi(x(t))(\dot{x}(t)) + \lambda\beta(t)\nabla^2\Psi(x(t))(\dot{x}(t)) + \nabla\Phi(x(t)) + (\beta(t) + \lambda\dot{\beta}(t))\nabla\Psi(x(t)) = 0 \\ x(0) = u_0, \dot{x}(0) = v_0, \end{cases} \tag{11}$$

where $\gamma, \lambda > 0$, $u_0, v_0 \in \mathcal{H}$, provided that the following assumptions are satisfied:

(H_Ψ) $\Psi : \mathcal{H} \to [0, +\infty)$ is convex, twice differentiable such that $\nabla\Psi$ and $\nabla^2\Psi$ are Lipschitz continuous and $\operatorname{argmin}\Psi = \Psi^{-1}(0) \neq \emptyset$;

(H_Φ) $\Phi : \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{R}$ is convex, twice differentiable, bounded from below, such that $\nabla\Phi$ and $\nabla^2\Phi$ are Lipschitz continuous and $S := \{z \in \operatorname{argmin}\Psi : \Phi(z) \leq \Phi(x)\ \forall x \in \operatorname{argmin}\Psi\} \neq \emptyset$;

(H_β) $\beta : [0, +\infty) \to (0, +\infty)$ is a C^1-function with $\lim\limits_{t\to+\infty} \beta(t) = +\infty$ and it satisfies the growth condition $0 \leq \dot{\beta} \leq k\beta$, where $0 < k < \dfrac{\theta}{1+\lambda\gamma} \min\left\{\dfrac{2\gamma}{3}, \dfrac{2}{\lambda}\right\}$ for $\theta \in (0, 1)$.

We look at strong global solutions $x : [0, +\infty) \to \mathcal{H}$ of the dynamical system of (11), that is, x and $\dot{x}$ are locally absolutely continuous (in other words, absolutely continuous on each interval $[0, b]$ for $0 < b < +\infty$), $x(0) = u_0, \dot{x}(0) = v_0$ and

$$\ddot{x}(t) + \gamma\dot{x}(t) + \lambda\nabla^2\Phi(x(t))(\dot{x}(t)) + \lambda\beta(t)\nabla^2\Psi(x(t))(\dot{x}(t)) + \nabla\Phi(x(t)) + (\beta(t) + \lambda\dot{\beta}(t))\nabla\Psi(x(t)) = 0$$

for almost every $t \in [0, +\infty)$.

In view of the Lipschitz continuity of $\nabla\Psi, \nabla^2\Psi$ and $\nabla\Phi, \nabla^2\Phi$, assumed in (H_Ψ) and (H_Φ), respectively, the existence and uniqueness of strong global solutions of (11) is a consequence of the Cauchy-Lipschitz-Picard Theorem (see for example [5, 17, 25, 31]).

Remark 2 (a) In case $\Psi = 0$, the dynamical system (11) becomes

$$\begin{cases} \ddot{x}(t) + \gamma\dot{x}(t) + \lambda\nabla^2\Phi(x(t))(\dot{x}(t)) + \nabla\Phi(x(t)) = 0 \\ x(0) = u_0, \dot{x}(0) = v_0, \end{cases} \tag{12}$$

the convergence of which has been investigated in [5] in connection with the minimization of the function Φ over $\mathcal{H}$.

(b) The time discretization of second order dynamical systems leads to iterative algorithms involving inertial terms, which basically means that every new iterate is constructed in terms of the previous two iterates (see for example [3, 4]). In view of this observation, it makes sense to investigate a time discretized version of (11) and to study the convergence properties of the generated iterates in relation with the solving of the optimization problem (5). We leave this topic as future research work.

3 Convergence of the trajectories and of the objective function values

This section is devoted to the asymptotic analysis of the trajectory generated by the dynamical system (11). We show weak convergence of the trajectory $x(\cdot)$ to an optimal solution of (5) as well as convergence for the objective function values along the trajectory as $t \to +\infty$, under the following assumption:

(H) $\forall p \in \operatorname{ran} N_{\operatorname{argmin}\Psi}$ $\int_0^{+\infty} \beta(t) \left[\Psi^*\left(\frac{p}{\beta(t)}\right) - \sigma_{\operatorname{argmin}\Psi}\left(\frac{p}{\beta(t)}\right)\right] dt < +\infty$.

Remark 3 (a) Let $\delta_{\operatorname{argmin}\Psi} : \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ be the indicator function of $\operatorname{argmin}\Psi$, which is the function that takes the value 0 on the set $\operatorname{argmin}\Psi$ and $+\infty$, otherwise. Due to $\Psi \leq \delta_{\operatorname{argmin}\Psi}$ (see (H_Ψ)), we have

$$\Psi^* \geq \delta^*_{\operatorname{argmin}\Psi} = \sigma_{\operatorname{argmin}\Psi}.$$

(b) Considering the case when $\Psi = 0$ (see Remark 2(a)), we have $N_{\operatorname{argmin}\Psi}(x) = \{0\}$ for every $x \in \operatorname{argmin}\Psi = \mathcal{H}$, $\Psi^* = \sigma_{\operatorname{argmin}\Psi} = \delta_{\{0\}}$ and (H) trivially holds.

For $\delta > 0$, we considere the following energy functional that will play an important role in the analysis below:

$$E_\delta(t) = \delta\big(\Phi(x(t)) + \beta(t)\Psi(x(t))\big) + \frac{1}{2}\left\|\dot{x}(t) + \lambda\nabla\Phi(x(t)) + \lambda\beta(t)\nabla\Psi(x(t))\right\|^2 \ \forall t > 0. \tag{13}$$

For its derivative we have for almost every $t \in [0, +\infty)$

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{E}_\delta(t) &= \delta\langle\nabla\Phi(x(t)), \dot{x}(t)\rangle + \delta\beta(t)\langle\nabla\Psi(x(t)), \dot{x}(t)\rangle + \delta\dot{\beta}(t)\Psi(x(t)) + \\
&\langle\ddot{x}(t) + \lambda\nabla^2\Phi(x(t))(\dot{x}(t)) + \lambda\beta(t)\nabla^2\Psi(x(t))(\dot{x}(t)) + \lambda\dot{\beta}(t)\nabla\Psi(x(t)), \dot{x}(t) + \lambda\nabla\Phi(x(t)) + \lambda\beta(t)\nabla\Psi(x(t))\rangle \\
&= \delta\langle\nabla\Phi(x(t)), \dot{x}(t)\rangle + \delta\beta(t)\langle\nabla\Psi(x(t)), \dot{x}(t)\rangle + \delta\dot{\beta}(t)\Psi(x(t)) + \\
&\quad \langle-\gamma\dot{x}(t) - \nabla\Phi(x(t)) - \beta(t)\nabla\Psi(x(t)), \dot{x}(t) + \lambda\nabla\Phi(x(t)) + \lambda\beta(t)\nabla\Psi(x(t))\rangle \\
&= \delta\langle\nabla\Phi(x(t)), \dot{x}(t)\rangle + \delta\beta(t)\langle\nabla\Psi(x(t)), \dot{x}(t)\rangle + \delta\dot{\beta}(t)\Psi(x(t)) - \gamma\|\dot{x}(t)\|^2 - \\
&\quad (1+\gamma\lambda)\langle\nabla\Phi(x(t)), \dot{x}(t)\rangle - (1+\gamma\lambda)\beta(t)\langle\nabla\Psi(x(t)), \dot{x}(t)\rangle - \lambda\|\nabla\Phi(x(t))\|^2 - \\
&\quad \lambda\beta^2(t)\|\nabla\Psi(x(t))\|^2 - 2\lambda\beta(t)\langle\nabla\Phi(x(t)), \nabla\Psi(x(t))\rangle \\
&= (\delta - \gamma\lambda - 1)\langle\nabla\Phi(x(t)), \dot{x}(t)\rangle + \beta(t)(\delta - \gamma\lambda - 1)\langle\nabla\Psi(x(t)), \dot{x}(t)\rangle + \delta\dot{\beta}(t)\Psi(x(t)) - \\
&\quad \gamma\|\dot{x}(t)\|^2 - \lambda\|\nabla\Phi(x(t))\|^2 - \lambda\beta^2(t)\|\nabla\Psi(x(t))\|^2 - 2\lambda\beta(t)\langle\nabla\Phi(x(t)), \nabla\Psi(x(t))\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally we obtain for almost every $t \in [0, +\infty)$

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{E}_\delta(t) = (\delta - \gamma\lambda - 1)\langle\nabla\Phi(x(t)), \dot{x}(t)\rangle + \beta(t)(\delta - \gamma\lambda - 1)\langle\nabla\Psi(x(t)), \dot{x}(t)\rangle + \delta\dot{\beta}(t)\Psi(x(t)) \\
- \gamma\|\dot{x}(t)\|^2 - \lambda\|\nabla\Phi(x(t)) + \beta(t)\nabla\Psi(x(t))\|^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Further, for $z \in S$ and

$$\varepsilon := \theta\min\left\{\frac{2\gamma}{3}, \frac{2}{\lambda}\right\} \tag{15}$$

we consider the functional

$$E(t) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}E_{1+\gamma\lambda}(t) + \frac{\gamma}{2}\|x(t) - z\|^2 + \langle\dot{x}(t) + \lambda\nabla\Phi(x(t)) + \lambda\beta(t)\nabla\Psi(x(t)), x(t) - z\rangle \ \forall t > 0. \tag{16}$$

By using (14) we easily derive for almost every $t \in [0, +\infty)$

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{E}(t) &= \frac{1+\gamma\lambda}{\varepsilon}\dot{\beta}(t)\Psi(x(t)) - \frac{\gamma}{\varepsilon}\|\dot{x}(t)\|^2 - \frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon}\|\nabla\Phi(x(t)) + \beta(t)\nabla\Psi(x(t))\|^2 \\
&\quad + \gamma\langle\dot{x}(t), x(t) - z\rangle + \langle-\gamma\dot{x}(t) - \nabla\Phi(x(t)) - \beta(t)\nabla\Psi(x(t)), x(t) - z\rangle \\
&\quad + \langle\dot{x}(t) + \lambda\nabla\Phi(x(t)) + \lambda\beta(t)\nabla\Psi(x(t)), \dot{x}(t)\rangle \\
&= \frac{1+\gamma\lambda}{\varepsilon}\dot{\beta}(t)\Psi(x(t)) - \langle\nabla\Phi(x(t)) + \beta(t)\nabla\Psi(x(t)), x(t) - z\rangle \\
&\quad - \left(\frac{\gamma}{\varepsilon} - 1\right)\|\dot{x}(t)\|^2 + \lambda\langle\nabla\Phi(x(t)) + \beta(t)\nabla\Psi(x(t)), \dot{x}(t)\rangle \\
&\quad - \frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon}\|\nabla\Phi(x(t)) + \beta(t)\nabla\Psi(x(t))\|^2 \\
&\leq \frac{1+\gamma\lambda}{\varepsilon}\dot{\beta}(t)\Psi(x(t)) - \langle\nabla\Phi(x(t)) + \beta(t)\nabla\Psi(x(t)), x(t) - z\rangle \\
&\quad - \left(\frac{\gamma}{\varepsilon} - \frac{3}{2}\right)\|\dot{x}(t)\|^2 - \frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon}\left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon\lambda}{2}\right)\|\nabla\Phi(x(t)) + \beta(t)\nabla\Psi(x(t))\|^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

The following lemma will play an essential role in the asymptotic analysis of the trajectories.

Lemma 4 *Assume that (H_Ψ), (H_Φ), (H_β) and (H) hold and let $x : [0, +\infty) \to \mathcal{H}$ be the trajectory generated by the dynamical system (11). Then for every $z \in S$ the following statements are true:*

(i) $\int_0^{+\infty} \beta(t)\Psi(x(t))dt < +\infty$;

(ii) $\exists \lim_{t\to+\infty} \int_0^t \langle \nabla\Phi(z), x(s) - z\rangle ds \in \mathbb{R}$;

(iii) $\exists \lim_{t\to+\infty} \int_0^t \left(\Phi(x(s)) - \Phi(z) + \left(1 - \frac{k(1+\gamma\lambda)}{\varepsilon}\right)\beta(s)\Psi(x(s))\right) ds \in \mathbb{R}$;

(iv) $\dot{x}$, $\nabla\Phi(x) + \beta\nabla\Psi(x) \in L^2([0, +\infty); \mathcal{H})$.

Proof. Take an arbitrary $z \in S$. Relying on the convexity of the functions Φ and Ψ, the fact that $z \in \operatorname{argmin}\Psi$ (hence $\Psi(z) = 0$) and the non-negativity of β and Ψ we obtain for every $t \in [0, +\infty)$

$$-\langle \nabla\Phi(x(t)) + \beta(t)\nabla\Psi(x(t)), x(t) - z\rangle \leq \Phi(z) - \Phi(x(t)) - \beta(t)\Psi(x(t)). \tag{18}$$

From here and (17) we derive

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{E}(t) \leq{}& \frac{1+\gamma\lambda}{\varepsilon}\dot{\beta}(t)\Psi(x(t)) + \Phi(z) - \Phi(x(t)) - \beta(t)\Psi(x(t))\\ &- \left(\frac{\gamma}{\varepsilon} - \frac{3}{2}\right)\|\dot{x}(t)\|^2 - \frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon}\left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon\lambda}{2}\right)\|\nabla\Phi(x(t)) + \beta(t)\nabla\Psi(x(t))\|^2\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

for almost every $t \in [0, +\infty)$.

By using the growth condition on β we get

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{E}(t) \leq{}& \left(k\frac{1+\gamma\lambda}{\varepsilon} - 1\right)\beta(t)\Psi(x(t)) + \Phi(z) - \Phi(x(t))\\ &- \left(\frac{\gamma}{\varepsilon} - \frac{3}{2}\right)\|\dot{x}(t)\|^2 - \frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon}\left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon\lambda}{2}\right)\|\nabla\Phi(x(t)) + \beta(t)\nabla\Psi(x(t))\|^2\\ ={}& -\widetilde{\beta}(t)\Psi(x(t)) + \Phi(z) - \Phi(x(t))\\ &- \left(\frac{\gamma}{\varepsilon} - \frac{3}{2}\right)\|\dot{x}(t)\|^2 - \frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon}\left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon\lambda}{2}\right)\|\nabla\Phi(x(t)) + \beta(t)\nabla\Psi(x(t))\|^2,\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

where

$$\widetilde{\beta}(t) := \left(1 - k\frac{1+\gamma\lambda}{\varepsilon}\right)\beta(t) > 0, \tag{21}$$

due to (H_β) and (15).

Furthermore,

$$\Phi(z) - \Phi(x(t)) \leq \langle -\nabla\Phi(z), x(t) - z\rangle, \tag{22}$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{E}(t) \leq{}& -\widetilde{\beta}(t)\Psi(x(t)) - \langle \nabla\Phi(z), x(t) - z\rangle\\ &- \left(\frac{\gamma}{\varepsilon} - \frac{3}{2}\right)\|\dot{x}(t)\|^2 - \frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon}\left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon\lambda}{2}\right)\|\nabla\Phi(x(t)) + \beta(t)\nabla\Psi(x(t))\|^2\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

for almost every $t \in [0, +\infty)$. Since z is an optimal solution of (5), the first order optimality condition delivers

$$0 \in \partial(\Phi + \delta_{\operatorname{argmin}\Psi})(z) = \nabla\Phi(z) + N_{\operatorname{argmin}\Psi}(z), \tag{24}$$

hence

$$-\nabla\Phi(z) \in N_{\operatorname{argmin}\Psi}(z) \subseteq \operatorname{ran} N_{\operatorname{argmin}\Psi}. \tag{25}$$

From here and by using the Young-Fenchel inequality we obtain for every $t \in [0, +\infty)$

$$\begin{aligned}
-\widetilde{\beta}(t)\Psi(x(t)) - \langle \nabla\Phi(z), x(t) - z\rangle &= \widetilde{\beta}(t)\left(-\Psi(x(t)) - \left\langle \frac{\nabla\Phi(z)}{\widetilde{\beta}(t)}, x(t) - z\right\rangle\right) \\
&= \widetilde{\beta}(t)\left(-\Psi(x(t)) + \left\langle \frac{-\nabla\Phi(z)}{\widetilde{\beta}(t)}, x(t)\right\rangle - \sigma_{\operatorname{argmin}\Psi}\left(\frac{-\nabla\Phi(z)}{\widetilde{\beta}(t)}\right)\right) \\
&\leq \widetilde{\beta}(t)\left(\Psi^*\left(\frac{-\nabla\Phi(z)}{\widetilde{\beta}(t)}\right) - \sigma_{\operatorname{argmin}\Psi}\left(\frac{-\nabla\Phi(z)}{\widetilde{\beta}(t)}\right)\right). \qquad (26)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, from (23) and (26) we obtain for almost every $t \in [0, +\infty)$

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{E}(t) &\leq \widetilde{\beta}(t)\left(\Psi^*\left(\frac{-\nabla\Phi(z)}{\widetilde{\beta}(t)}\right) - \sigma_{\operatorname{argmin}\Psi}\left(\frac{-\nabla\Phi(z)}{\widetilde{\beta}(t)}\right)\right) \\
&\quad - \left(\frac{\gamma}{\varepsilon} - \frac{3}{2}\right)\|\dot{x}(t)\|^2 - \frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon}\left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon\lambda}{2}\right)\|\nabla\Phi(x(t)) + \beta(t)\nabla\Psi(x(t))\|^2 \qquad (27) \\
&\leq \widetilde{\beta}(t)\left(\Psi^*\left(\frac{-\nabla\Phi(z)}{\widetilde{\beta}(t)}\right) - \sigma_{\operatorname{argmin}\Psi}\left(\frac{-\nabla\Phi(z)}{\widetilde{\beta}(t)}\right)\right), \qquad (28)
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from (15) and the fact that $\theta \in (0, 1)$.

By integrating the last inequality from 0 to T ($T > 0$) and by taking into account (H), (16), (13) and the fact that Φ and Ψ are bounded from below, it yields that there exists $M > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\gamma}{2}\|x(T) - z\|^2 + \langle \dot{x}(T) + \lambda\nabla\Phi(x(T)) + \lambda\beta(T)\nabla\Psi(x(T)), x(T) - z\rangle \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon}\|\dot{x}(T) + \lambda\nabla\Phi(x(T)) + \lambda\beta(T)\nabla\Psi(x(T))\|^2 \leq M \ \forall T \geq 0. \qquad (29)
\end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (18) and the fact that Φ and Ψ are bounded from below, one can easily see that there exists $M' > 0$ such that

$$\frac{d}{dT}\left(\frac{1}{2}\|x(T) - z\|^2\right) + \gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\|x(T) - z\|^2\right) \leq M' \ \forall T \geq 0.$$

A direct application of the Gronwall Lemma implies that

$$x \text{ is bounded.} \qquad (30)$$

Further, this yields via (29) that

$$\dot{x} + \lambda\nabla\Phi(x) + \lambda\beta\nabla\Psi(x) \text{ is bounded.} \qquad (31)$$

From (30), (31), (16) and(13) we conclude that

$$E \text{ is bounded from below.} \qquad (32)$$

Moreover, from (20), (26) and (22) we obtain for almost every $t \in [0, +\infty)$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\dot{E}(t) + \widetilde{\beta}(t)\left(-\Psi^*\left(\frac{-\nabla\Phi(z)}{\widetilde{\beta}(t)}\right) + \sigma_{\operatorname{argmin}\Psi}\left(\frac{-\nabla\Phi(z)}{\widetilde{\beta}(t)}\right)\right) \\
&\leq \dot{E}(t) + \widetilde{\beta}(t)\Psi(x(t)) + \langle \nabla\Phi(z), x(t) - z\rangle \\
&\leq \dot{E}(t) + \Phi(x(t)) - \Phi(z) + \widetilde{\beta}(t)\Psi(x(t)) \\
&\leq 0. \qquad (33)
\end{aligned}$$

(i) Consider the function $F : [0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$F(t) = \int_0^t \left(-\widetilde{\beta}(s)\Psi(x(s)) - \langle \nabla\Phi(z), x(s) - z\rangle \right) ds \ \forall t \geq 0.$$

Making again use of (see (33))

$$-\widetilde{\beta}(s)\Psi(x(s)) - \langle \nabla\Phi(z), x(s) - z\rangle \geq \dot{E}(s) \ \forall s \in [0, +\infty)$$

and (32), we easily derive that F is bounded from below. Moreover, from (26) it follows that for almost every $t \in [0, +\infty)$

$$\dot{F}(t) \leq \widetilde{\beta}(t) \left(\Psi^* \left(\frac{-\nabla\Phi(z)}{\widetilde{\beta}(t)} \right) - \sigma_{\operatorname{argmin}\Psi} \left(\frac{-\nabla\Phi(z)}{\widetilde{\beta}(t)} \right) \right).$$

Notice that according to (H), the function on the right-hand side of this inequality is L^1-integrable on $[0, +\infty)$, hence a direct application of Lemma 1 yields that $\lim_{t\to+\infty} F(t)$ exists and is a real number. Thus

$$\exists \lim_{t\to+\infty} \int_0^t \left(\widetilde{\beta}(s)\Psi(s) + \langle \nabla\Phi(z), x(s) - z\rangle \right) ds \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{34}$$

Since $\Psi \geq 0$, we obtain for every $t \in [0, +\infty)$

$$\widetilde{\beta}(t)\Psi(x(t)) + \langle \nabla\Phi(z), x(t) - z\rangle \geq \frac{\widetilde{\beta}(t)}{2}\Psi(x(t)) + \langle \nabla\Phi(z), x(t) - z\rangle$$

and from here, similarly to (26),

$$-\frac{\widetilde{\beta}(t)}{2}\Psi(x(t)) - \langle \nabla\Phi(z), x(t) - z\rangle \leq \frac{\widetilde{\beta}(t)}{2} \left(\Psi^* \left(\frac{-2\nabla\Phi(z)}{\widetilde{\beta}(t)} \right) - \sigma_{\operatorname{argmin}\Psi} \left(\frac{-2\nabla\Phi(z)}{\widetilde{\beta}(t)} \right) \right).$$

Thus, for almost every $t \in [0, +\infty)$ it holds

$$\begin{aligned}
&\dot{E}(t) + \frac{\widetilde{\beta}(t)}{2} \left(-\Psi^* \left(\frac{-2\nabla\Phi(z)}{\widetilde{\beta}(t)} \right) + \sigma_{\operatorname{argmin}\Psi} \left(\frac{-2\nabla\Phi(z)}{\widetilde{\beta}(t)} \right) \right) \\
&\leq \dot{E}(t) + \frac{\widetilde{\beta}(t)}{2}\Psi(x(t)) + \langle \nabla\Phi(z), x(t) - z\rangle \\
&\leq \dot{E}(t) + \widetilde{\beta}(t)\Psi(x(t)) + \langle \nabla\Phi(z), x(t) - z\rangle \\
&\leq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Following the same technique as in the proof of (34), it yields that

$$\exists \lim_{t\to+\infty} \int_0^t \left(\frac{\widetilde{\beta}(s)}{2}\Psi(s) + \langle \nabla\Phi(z), x(s) - z\rangle \right) ds \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{35}$$

Finally, from (34), (35) and (21) we obtain (i).

(ii) Follows from (i), (34) and (21).

(iii) Follows from (33) and (26), by following the same arguments as for proving statement (34).

(iv) Follows by integrating (27), by taking into account (H), (32), (15) and the fact that $\theta \in (0, 1)$.

■

Remark 5 The assumption $\lim_{t\to+\infty} \beta(t) = +\infty$ has not bee used in the above proof. However, it will play an important role in the arguments used below.

For the asymptotic analysis of the trajectories generated by the dynamical system (11), the continuous version of the Opial Lemma that we state as follows will be crucial.

Lemma 6 *Let S_∞ be a nonempty subset of the real Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and $x : [0, +\infty) \to \mathcal{H}$ a given function. Assume that*

(i) $\lim_{t\to+\infty} \|x(t) - z\|$ *exists for every* $z \in S_\infty$*;*

(ii) every weak limit point of x belongs to S_∞.

Then there exists $x_\infty \in S_\infty$ such that $x(t)$ converges weakly to x_∞ as $t \to +\infty$.

We state now the main theorem of the paper.

Theorem 7 *Assume that (H_Ψ), (H_Φ), (H_β) and (H) hold and let $x : [0, +\infty) \to \mathcal{H}$ be the trajectory generated by the dynamical system* (11)*. Then the following statements are true:*

(i) $\Phi(x(t))$ *converges to the optimal objective value of* (5) *as* $t \to +\infty$*;*

(ii) $\lim_{t\to+\infty} \beta(t)\Psi(x(t)) = \lim_{t\to+\infty} \Psi(x(t)) = 0$*;*

(iii) $\int_0^{+\infty} \beta(t)\Psi(x(t))dt < +\infty$*;*

(iv) $\dot{x}$, $\nabla\Phi(x) + \beta\nabla\Psi(x) \in L^2([0, +\infty); \mathcal{H})$*;*

(v) $\lim_{t\to+\infty} \big(\dot{x}(t) + \lambda\nabla\Phi(x(t)) + \lambda\beta(t)\nabla\Psi(x(t))\big) = 0$*;*

(vi) there exists $x_\infty \in S$ such that $x(t)$ converges weakly to x_∞ as $t \to +\infty$.

Proof. Fix an arbitrary $z \in S$ and consider the energy functional defined in (13) for

$$\delta_1 = (1 + \sqrt{\lambda\gamma})^2 = 1 + \lambda\gamma + 2\sqrt{\lambda\gamma}.$$

A simple computation (see (14)) shows that

$$\dot{E}_{\delta_1}(t) = (1 + \sqrt{\lambda\gamma})^2\dot{\beta}(t)\Psi(x(t)) - \left\|\sqrt{\gamma}\dot{x}(t) - \sqrt{\lambda}(\nabla\Phi(x(t)) + \beta(t)\nabla\Psi(x(t))\right\|^2$$

Taking into account the growth condition on β, Lemma 4(i) and the fact that E_{δ_1} is bounded from below, we obtain from Lemma 1 that

$$\exists \lim_{t\to+\infty} E_{\delta_1}(t) \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{36}$$

Similarly, consider

$$\delta_2 = (1 - \sqrt{\lambda\gamma})^2 = 1 + \lambda\gamma - 2\sqrt{\lambda\gamma}.$$

We have

$$\dot{E}_{\delta_2}(t) = (1 - \sqrt{\lambda\gamma})^2\dot{\beta}(t)\Psi(x(t)) - \left\|\sqrt{\gamma}\dot{x}(t) + \sqrt{\lambda}(\nabla\Phi(x(t)) + \beta(t)\nabla\Psi(x(t))\right\|^2$$

and

$$\exists \lim_{t\to+\infty} E_{\delta_2}(t) \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{37}$$

From (36) and (37) we get

$$\exists \lim_{t\to+\infty} \big(E_{\delta_1}(t) - E_{\delta_2}(t)\big) \in \mathbb{R}.$$

This implies by the definition of the energy functional that

$$\exists \lim_{t\to+\infty} \big(\Phi(x(t)) + \beta(t)\Psi(x(t))\big) \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{38}$$

From here we deduce

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty} \Psi(x(t)) = 0. \tag{39}$$

Indeed, since Φ is bounded from below and, by taking into account the inequality

$$\Phi(x(t)) \leq \Phi(z) + \langle \nabla\Phi(x(t)), x(t) - z\rangle,$$

the fact that x is bounded and $\lim_{t\to+\infty} \beta(t) = +\infty$, we have

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty} \frac{\Phi(x(t))}{\beta(t)} = 0.$$

The statement (39) follows now from the last relation and (38).

In the following we appeal to [12, Lemma 3.4] for the inequality

$$\liminf_{t\to+\infty} \Phi(x(t)) \geq \Phi(z). \tag{40}$$

Indeed, this was obtained in [12, Lemma 3.4] for a first order dynamical system. However, the statement remains true for (11) too, since a careful look at the proof of Lemma 3.4 in [12] reveals that the main arguments used to obtain this conclusion are the statements in Lemma 4(ii), the fact that the trajectory x is bounded, the weak lower semicontinuity of Ψ, equation (39), the inequality (22) and relation (25).

From (40) and (38) we have $\lim_{t\to+\infty} \big(\Phi(x(t)) + \beta(t)\Psi(x(t))\big) \geq \Phi(z)$. We claim that

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty} \big(\Phi(x(t)) + \beta(t)\Psi(x(t))\big) = \Phi(z). \tag{41}$$

Let us assume that $\lim_{t\to+\infty} \big(\Phi(x(t)) + \beta(t)\Psi(x(t))\big) > \Phi(z)$. Then there exist $\eta > 0$ and $t_0 \geq 0$ such that for every $t \geq t_0$ we have

$$\Phi(x(t)) + \beta(t)\Psi(x(t)) > \Phi(z) + \eta. \tag{42}$$

Hence, for every $t \geq t_0$

$$\eta < \Phi(x(t)) - \Phi(z) + \left(1 - \frac{k(1+\gamma\lambda)}{\varepsilon}\right)\beta(t)\Psi(x(t)) + \frac{k(1+\gamma\lambda)}{\varepsilon}\beta(t)\Psi(x(t)). \tag{43}$$

Integrating the last inequality and taking into account Lemma 4(i) and (iii) we obtain a contradiction. In conclusion, (41) holds.

Since $\Phi(x(t)) \leq \Phi(x(t)) + \beta(t)\Psi(x(t))$ for every $t \in [0, +\infty)$, according to (41) it follows that $\limsup_{t\to+\infty} \Phi(x(t)) \leq \Phi(z)$, which combined with (40) imply (i).

(ii) Follows from (39), (41) and the fact that $\lim_{t\to+\infty} \Phi(x(t)) = \Phi(z)$.

(iii)-(iv) The statements have been proved in Lemma 4.

(v) From (14), Lemma 4(i) and Lemma 1, we derive that

$$\exists \lim_{t\to+\infty} E_{1+\lambda\gamma}(t) \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{44}$$

Combining this with (13) and (38), we obtain that

$$\exists \lim_{t\to+\infty} \|\dot{x}(t) + \lambda\nabla\Phi(x(t)) + \lambda\beta(t)\nabla\Psi(x(t))\| \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The statement follows now from (iv).

(vi) This will be a consequence of the Opial Lemma.

Let us check the first statement in Lemma 6. From (28), (H), (32) and Lemma 1 we obtain

$$\exists \lim_{t\to+\infty} E(t) \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{45}$$

Further, by using (16), (45), (44), (30) and (v) we conclude that

$$\exists \lim_{t\to+\infty} \|x(t) - z\| \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{46}$$

Since $z \in S$ was arbitrary chosen, the first statement of the Opial Lemma is true.

We prove now that the second condition in Lemma 6 is fulfilled, too. Let $(t_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of positive numbers such that $\lim_{n\to+\infty} t_n = +\infty$ and $x(t_n)$ converges weakly to x_∞ as $n \to +\infty$. By using the weak lower semicontinuity of Ψ and (ii) we obtain

$$0 \leq \Psi(x_\infty) \leq \liminf_{n\to+\infty} \Psi(x(t_n)) = 0,$$

hence $x_\infty \in \operatorname{argmin} \Psi$. Moreover, the weak lower semicontinuity of Φ and (i) yield

$$\Phi(x_\infty) \leq \liminf_{n\to+\infty} \Phi(x(t_n)) = \Phi(z),$$

thus $x_\infty \in S$. Therefore, both conditions of Lemma 6 are fulfilled and the conclusion follows. ■

Finally, we consider the situation when the objective function of (5) is strongly convex. In this case, the trajectory generated by (11) converges strongly to the unique optimal solution of (5).

Theorem 8 *Assume that (H_Ψ), (H_Φ), (H_β) and (H) hold and let $x : [0, +\infty) \to \mathcal{H}$ be the trajectory generated by the dynamical system* (11)*. If Φ is strongly convex, then $x(t)$ converges strongly to the unique optimal solution of* (5) *as $t \to +\infty$.*

Proof. Let $\mu > 0$ be such that Φ is μ-strongly convex. In this case the optimization problem (5) has a unique optimal solution, which we denote by z.

We replace (22) with the stronger inequality

$$\frac{\mu}{2}\|x(t) - z\|^2 + \Phi(z) - \Phi(x(t)) \leq \langle -\nabla\Phi(z), x(t) - z\rangle \ \forall t \in [0, +\infty) \tag{47}$$

and obtain (see the proof of Lemma 4 and (33)) for almost every $t \in [0, +\infty)$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\dot{E}(t) + \frac{\mu}{2}\|x(t) - z\|^2 + \widetilde{\beta}(t)\left(-\Psi^*\left(\frac{-\nabla\Phi(z)}{\widetilde{\beta}(t)}\right) + \sigma_{\operatorname{argmin}\Psi}\left(\frac{-\nabla\Phi(z)}{\widetilde{\beta}(t)}\right)\right) \\
&\leq \dot{E}(t) + \frac{\mu}{2}\|x(t) - z\|^2 + +\widetilde{\beta}(t)\Psi(x(t)) + \langle\nabla\Phi(z), x(t) - z\rangle \\
&\leq \dot{E}(t) + \Phi(x(t)) - \Phi(z) + \widetilde{\beta}(t)\Psi(x(t)) \\
&\leq 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

Taking into account (H) and that E is bounded from below (see (32)), by integration of the above inequality we obtain that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\frac{\mu}{2}\int_0^T \|x(t) - z\|^2 dt \leq C \ \forall T \geq 0.$$

According to (46), $\lim_{t\to+\infty} \|x(t) - z\|$ exists, thus $\|x(t) - z\|$ converges to 0 as $t \to +\infty$ and the proof is complete. ■

References

[1] B. Abbas, H. Attouch, B.F. Svaiter, *Newton-like dynamics and forward-backward methods for structured monotone inclusions in Hilbert spaces*, Journal of Optimization Theory and its Applications 161(2), 331–360, 2014

[2] F. Alvarez, *On the minimizing property of a second order dissipative system in Hilbert spaces*, SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization 38(4), 1102–1119, 2000

[3] F. Alvarez, *Weak convergence of a relaxed and inertial hybrid projection-proximal point algorithm for maximal monotone operators in Hilbert space*, SIAM Journal on Optimization 14(3), 773–782, 2004

[4] F. Alvarez, H. Attouch, *An inertial proximal method for maximal monotone operators via discretization of a nonlinear oscillator with damping*, Set-Valued Analysis 9, 3–11, 2001

[5] F. Alvarez, H. Attouch, J. Bolte, P. Redont, *A second-order gradient-like dissipative dynamical system with Hessian-driven damping. Application to optimization and mechanics*, Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées 81(8), 747–779, 2002

[6] F. Alvarez, J.M. Pérez, *A dynamical system associated with Newton's method for parametric approximations of convex minimization problems*, Applied Mathematics and Optimization 38(2), 193–217, 1998

[7] A.S. Antipin, *Minimization of convex functions on convex sets by means of differential equations*, (Russian) Differentsial'nye Uravneniya 30(9), 1475–1486, 1994; translation in Differential Equations 30(9), 1365–1375, 1994

[8] H. Attouch, F. Alvarez, *The heavy ball with friction dynamical system for convex constrained minimization problems*, in: Optimization (Namur, 1998), 25–35, in: Lecture Notes in Economics and Mathematical Systems 481, Springer, Berlin, 2000

[9] H. Attouch, J. Bolte, P. Redont, *Optimizing properties of an inertial dynamical system with geometric damping. Link with proximal methods*, Control and Cybernetics 31(3), 643–657, 2002

[10] H. Attouch, A. Cabot, M.-O. Czarnecki, *Asymptotic behavior of nonautonomous monotone and subgradient evolution equations*, to appear in Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, arXiv:1601.00767, 2016

[11] H. Attouch, M.-O. Czarnecki, *Asymptotic control and stabilization of nonlinear oscillators with non-isolated equilibria*, Journal of Differential Equations 179(1), 278–310, 2002

[12] H. Attouch, M.-O. Czarnecki, *Asymptotic behavior of coupled dynamical systems with multiscale aspects*, Journal of Differential Equations 248(6), 1315–1344, 2010

[13] H. Attouch, M.-O. Czarnecki, *Asymptotic behavior of gradient-like dynamical systems involving inertia and multiscale aspects*, arXiv:1602.00232, 2016

[14] H. Attouch, M.-O. Czarnecki, J. Peypouquet, *Prox-penalization and splitting methods for constrained variational problems*, SIAM Journal on Optimization 21(1), 149–173, 2011

[15] H. Attouch, M.-O. Czarnecki, J. Peypouquet, *Coupling forward-backward with penalty schemes and parallel splitting for constrained variational inequalities*, SIAM Journal on Optimization 21(4), 1251–1274, 2011

[16] H. Attouch, X. Goudou, P. Redont, *The heavy ball with friction method. I. The continuous dynamical system: global exploration of the local minima of a real-valued function by asymptotic analysis of a dissipative dynamical system*, Communications in Contemporary Mathematics 2(1), 1–34, 2000

[17] H. Attouch, P.-E. Maingé, *Asymptotic behavior of second-order dissipative evolution equations combining potential with non-potential effects*, ESAIM. Control, Optimisation and Calculus of Variations 17(3), 836–857, 2011

[18] H. Attouch, P.-E. Maingé, P. Redont, *A second-order differential system with Hessian-driven damping; application to non-elastic shock laws*, Differential Equations and Applications 4(1), 27–65, 2012

[19] H. Attouch, J. Peypouquet, P. Redont, *Fast convex optimization via inertial dynamics with Hessian driven damping*, arXiv:1601.07113, 2016

[20] H. Attouch, P. Redont, *The second-order in time continuous Newton method*, in Approximation, optimization and mathematical economics (Pointe--Pitre, 1999), 25–36, Physica, Heidelberg, 2001

[21] H.H. Bauschke, P.L. Combettes, *Convex Analysis and Monotone Operator Theory in Hilbert Spaces*, CMS Books in Mathematics, Springer, New York, 2011

[22] S. Banert, R.I. Boţ, *Backward penalty schemes for monotone inclusion problems*, Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications 166(3), 930–948, 2015

[23] R.I. Boţ, E.R. Csetnek, *Levenberg-Marquardt dynamics associated to variational inequalities*, arXiv:1603.04460, 2016

[24] R.I. Boţ, E.R. Csetnek, *Second order dynamical systems associated to variational inequalities*, Applicable Analysis, http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00036811.2016.1157589, 2016

[25] R.I. Boţ, E.R. Csetnek, *Second order forward-backward dynamical systems for monotone inclusion problems*, Siam Journal on Control and Optimization 54(3), 1423–1443, 2016

[26] R.I. Boţ, E.R. Csetnek, *A dynamical system associated with the fixed points set of a nonexpansive operator*, Journal of Dynamics and Differential Equations, DOI: 10.1007/s10884-015-9438-x, 2015

[27] R.I. Boţ, E.R. Csetnek, *Approaching the solving of constrained variational inequalities via penalty term-based dynamical systems*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 435(2), 1688-1700, 2016

[28] R.I. Boţ, E.R. Csetnek, *Forward-backward and Tseng's type penalty schemes for monotone inclusion problems*, Set-Valued and Variational Analysis 22, 313–331, 2014

[29] R.I. Boţ, E.R. Csetnek, *A Tseng's type penalty scheme for solving inclusion problems involving linearly composed and parallel-sum type monotone operators*, Vietnam Journal of Mathematics 42(4), 451–465, 2014

[30] R.I. Boţ, E.R. Csetnek, *Penalty schemes with inertial effects for monotone inclusion problems*, Optimization, DOI: 10.1080/02331934.2016.1181759, 2016

[31] A. Cabot, H. Engler, S. Gadat, *On the long time behavior of second order differential equations with asymptotically small dissipation*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 361(11), 5983–6017, 2009

[32] A. Cabot, H. Engler, S. Gadat, *Second-order differential equations with asymptotically small dissipation and piecewise flat potentials*, Proceedings of the Seventh Mississippi State-UAB Conference on Differential Equations and Computational Simulations, 33–38, Electronic Journal of Differential Equations Conference 17, 2009

[33] N. Noun, J. Peypouquet, *Forward-backward penalty scheme for constrained convex minimization without inf-compactness*, Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications, 158(3), 787–795, 2013

[34] J. Peypouquet, *Coupling the gradient method with a general exterior penalization scheme for convex minimization*, Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications 153(1), 123–138, 2012

[35] B.T. Polyak, *Introduction to Optimization*, (Translated from the Russian) Translations Series in Mathematics and Engineering, Optimization Software, Inc., Publications Division, New York, 1987